Prop. 3. 11. 49, ... cape, Roma, triumphum, roughly corresponds to line 49 of Ovid's elegy. Propertius tells Rome to have her triumph, while Ovid is admitting his own position as a captive in Amor's triumph. A similar correspondence of ideas is found in the next line of each elegy where both poets plead for an untroubled life. Propertius asks this boon for Augustus, but Ovid asks it for himself. Even though the contrast between Prop. 3. 11. 49–50 and Am. 1. 2. 49–50 is less specific than that of the two distichs praising Caesar, Ovid, by

insinuating that Rome's triumph over Cleopatra is in some way analogous to Cupid's triumph over him, has transferred Propertius' serious idea to a much lighter context, exactly as he has done concerning Caesar in the following distich. This evidence from outside the Propertian text, then, provides the final and most conclusive proof of the validity of this transposition.

KATHLEEN BERMAN

HERBERT LEHMAN COLLEGE

AESCHYLUS' MYRMIDONS (FRAG. 224 METTE)

Τεῦκρος δὲ τόξου χρώμενος φειδωλίαι ὑπὲρ τάφρου πηδῶντας ἔστησε<ν> Φρύγας.

Although Mette questioned ¹ the inclusion of these lines from Trypho ² among the list of fragments attributed to Aeschylus' Myrmidons and Nauck printed them as Fragment 569 Adespota, ³ on the basis of papyrological, literary, and stylistic considerations, I am convinced that the lines belong to that play.

It is at least possible that the quotation can be used to restore one of the fragments of *POxy*. 2163.⁴ The first of these papyrus fragments ⁵ can be attributed with certainty to the beginning of the *Myrmidons*.⁶ Since the other fragments are written in the same hand and were found with the first fragment, one may assume that they also belong to the play.⁷ I want to suggest that Trypho's quotation is a likely restoration for Frag. 6 of *POxy*. 2163 and, therefore, comes from Aeschylus'

- 1. H. J. Mette, *Die Fragmente der Tragoedien des Aischylos* (Berlin, 1959), p. 78. All fragments mentioned will be numbered according to Mette.
- 2. Trypho Περὶ τρόπων 5 (Περὶ μεταλήψεως), p. 195. 15, L. Spengel (1866).
 - 3. TGF2 (Leipzig, 1926), p, 952.
- 4. Mette, op. cit., pp. 74 ff. The papyrus fragments are restored by E. Lobel, Oxyrhynchos Papyri, XVIII (1941), pp. 23 ff.
 - 5. Frag. 213 (Mette).

Myrmidons. The papyrus fragment is transcribed as follows:

- 1]..[
- $2 \quad]\pi\rho\alpha$. [
- 3]κρο[
- 4]ρ.[

I superimpose Frag. 224, Trypho's quotation, over lines 3-4 as follows:⁹

- 3 Τεῦ]κρο[ς δὲ τόξου χρώμενος φειδωλίαι
- 4 ὑπὲ]ρ [τάφρου πηδῶντας ἔστησε<ν> Φρύνας·

The position of the extant letters matches that of the quotation perfectly, and the three letters thus restored to the left of the two lines make the left margin of this fragment the same as that of Frag. 4, col. 2 (*POxy*. 2163).¹⁰

MAE SMETHURST

PITTSBURGH UNIVERSITY

- 6. Restored from schol. Aristoph. Frogs 992. Cf. Harp., s.vv. Λέξεις ρητορικαί, p. 159, Bekker6 (1833).
- 7. Lobel, op. cit., p. 23, warns that this is merely an assumption.
 - 8. Frag. 218 (Mette).
- 9. Lobel, op. cit., p. 25, n. 6, thinks that the left-hand side of a circular letter, e.g., Θ , can be seen after the ρ of line 4. It appears to me to be difficult to tell.
 - 10. Frag. 216 (Mette).

ANYTE (ANTH. PAL. 7. 208)

Μνᾶμα τόδε φθιμένου μενεδαΐου εἴσατο Δᾶμις ἴππου, ἐπεὶ στέρνον τοῦδε δαφοινὸν Ἄρης τύψε· μέλαν δέ οἱ αἶμα ταλαυρίνου διὰ χρωτὸς ζέσσ', ἐπὶ δ' † ἀργαλέαν βῶλον ἔδευσε φόνω.

As transmitted, the above epigram by Anyte

on the horse of Damis (Anth. Pal. 7. 208; Gow-Page, The Greek Anthology, "Anyte" No. IX) makes no sense in line 4, as $\mathring{\alpha}\rho\gamma\alpha\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\alpha\nu$ $\beta\mathring{\omega}\lambda_{o\nu}$ can hardly mean the "battlefield."

Various emendations have been suggested: